arXiv:math/0305183v2 [math.NT] 14 May 2003

TRACES OF SINGULAR VALUES AND BORCHERDS PRODUCTS

CHANG HEON KIM

Abstract. Let p be a prime for which the congruence group $\Gamma_0(p)^*$ is of genus zero, and j_p^* be the corresponding Hauptmodul. Let f be a nearly holomorphic modular form of weight $1/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4p)$ which satisfies some congruence condition on its Fourier coefficients. We interpret f as a vector valued modular form. Applying Borcherds lifting of vector valued modular forms we construct infinite products associated to j_p^* and extend Zagier's trace formula for singular values of j_p^*. Further we investigate the twisted traces of sigular values of j_p^* and construct Borcherds products related to them.

1. Introduction

Let d denote a positive integer congruent to 0 or 3 modulo 4. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_d$ the set of positive definite binary quadratic forms $Q=[a,b,c]=aX^2+bXY+cY^2$ $(a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z})$ of discriminant $-d$, with usual action of the modular group $\Gamma=SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. To each $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_d$, we associate its unique root $\alpha_Q\in\mathfrak{H}$ (=upper half plane). Let $j(\tau)$ $(\tau\in\mathfrak{H})$ be the elliptic modular invariant and $\mathbf{t}(d)$ be the (weighted) trace of a singular modulus of discriminant $-d$ $(=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_d/\Gamma}\frac{1}{|\Gamma_Q|}(j(\alpha_Q)-744))$. Here $\bar{\Gamma}_Q=\{\gamma\in\bar{\Gamma}=PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})\mid Q\circ\gamma=Q\}$. In addition we set $\mathbf{t}(-1)=-1,\mathbf{t}(0)=2$ and $\mathbf{t}(d)=0$ for $d<-1$ or $d\equiv 1,2 \pmod 4$. Zagier showed the series $\sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{t}(d)q^d$ $(q=e^{2\pi i\tau},\tau\in\mathfrak{H})$ is a modular form of weight $3/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4)=\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}a&b\\c&d\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),4|c\}$, holomorphic in $\mathfrak{H}$ and meromorphic at cusps ([18] Theorem 1).

Let $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ $(N=1,2,3,\dots)$ be the group generated by $\Gamma_0(N)$ and all Atkin-Lehner involutions W_e for $e||N$. Here W_e is represented by a matrix of the form $\frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}ex&y\\Nz&ew\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11F03, 11F30; Secondary 11F22, 11F37, 11F50

$x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $xwe - yzN/e = 1$. There are only finitely many values of N for which $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ is of genus 0. In particular, if we let $\mathfrak{S}$ denote the set of prime values for such N, then

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 47, 59, 71\}.$$

Let j_N^* be the corresponding *Hauptmodul*, whose Fourier expansion starts with $q^{-1} + 0 + a_1 q + a_2 q^2 + \cdots$. It can be described by means of Dedekind eta function or theta functions. For example, if $N - 1$ divides 24, then the Hauptmodul j_N^* is explicitly given by

$$j_N^*(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\eta(N\tau)}\right)^{\frac{24}{N-1}} + \frac{24}{N-1} + N^{\frac{12}{N-1}} \left(\frac{\eta(N\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right)^{\frac{24}{N-1}}.$$

By a result of Borcherds [1] the Fourier coefficients of j_N^* are related to certain representations of the monster simple group.

Let d be an integer ≥ 0 such that $-d$ is congruent to a square modulo $4N$. We choose an integer $\beta \pmod{2N}$ with $\beta^2 \equiv -d \pmod{4N}$ and consider the set $\mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta} = \{[a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_d \mid a \equiv 0 \pmod{N},\ b \equiv \beta \pmod{2N}\}$ on which $\Gamma_0(N)$ acts. We assume that d is not divisible as a discriminant by the square of any prime dividing N. Then the the image of the root α_Q ($Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta}$) in $\Gamma_0(N)\backslash\mathfrak{H}$ corresponds to Heegner point and the natural map from the quotient $\mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta}/\Gamma_0(N)$ to $\mathcal{Q}_d/\Gamma$ is a bijection. We define a trace $\mathbf{t}^{(N,\beta)}(d)$ by $\mathbf{t}^{(N,\beta)}(d) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta}/\Gamma_0(N)} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_Q|} j_N^*(\alpha_Q)$. Since j_N^* is the Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(N)^*$, the value of $\mathbf{t}^{(N,\beta)}(d)$ is independent of the choice of β and we can simply write $\mathbf{t}^{(N)}(d)$ instead of $\mathbf{t}^{(N,\beta)}(d)$. Zagier described $\mathbf{t}^{(N)}(d)$ as the Fourier coefficient of certain Jacobi form of weight 2 and index N for $2 \leq N \leq 6$ (see [18] Theorem 8).

Let $M^!_{k+1/2}(N)$ be the vector space consisting of *nearly holomorphic modular forms* (holomorphic in $\mathfrak{H}$ and meromorphic at cusps) of half-integral weight $k + 1/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4N)$ whose n-th Fourier coefficient vanishes unless $(-1)^k n$ is congruent to a square modulo $4N$. According to Borcherds' duality theorem (Theorem 3.1 in [4]), the only obstructions to finding such forms of weight $1/2$ are given by holomorphic vector valued modular forms

of weight 3/2, which can be identified with elements in $J_{2,N}$ (=the space of holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 2 and index N) (see [11] Theorem 5.1). Let N be a positive integer for which the dimension of $J_{2,N}$ is zero. Then for every integer $d \geq 0$ such that $-d$ is congruent to a square modulo $4N$, we can find a unique modular form $f_{d,N} \in M^!_{1/2}(N)$ having a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f_{d,N} = q^{-d} + \sum_{D>0} A(D,d)q^D.$$

We define a polynomial $\mathcal{H}_{d,N}(X)$ by $\prod_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta}/\Gamma_0(N)} (X - j^*_N(\alpha_Q))^{1/|\bar{\Gamma}_Q|}$. In [13], from Zagier's trace formula ([18] Theorem 8) we derived the following product identity: for $N = 2, 3, 5, 6$

$$\mathcal{H}_{d,N}(j^*_N(\tau)) = q^{-H(d)} \prod_{u=1}^{\infty} (1-q^u)^{A^*(u^2,d)} \tag{1}$$

where $H(d)$ ($= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d/\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_Q|}$) is the *Hurwitz-Kronecker class number* and $A^*(D,d)$ is defined to be $2^{s(D,N)}A(D,d)$ with $s(D,N)$ the number of distinct prime factors dividing (D,N).

Given an even lattice M in a real quadratic space of signature $(2,n)$, Borcherds lifting [3] gives a multiplicative correspondence between vector valued modular forms F of weight $1-n/2$ with values in $\mathbb{C}[M'/M]$ (= the group ring of M'/M) and meromorphic modular forms on complex varieties $(O(2) \times O(n))\backslash O(2,n)/\mathrm{Aut}(M,F)$. Here $O(2,n)$ is the orthogonal group of $M \bigotimes \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(M,F)$ is the subgroup of orthogonal group of M (= $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$) leaving the form F stable under the natural action of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ on M'/M. In this article we are mainly concerned with $O(2,1)$ case. For $O(2,n)$ ($n \geq 2$) case one is referred to [5, 6]. We take M to be the direct sum of 2-dimensional unimodular Lorentzian lattice and 1-dimensional lattice generated by a vector of norm $2N$, as in Example 5.1 of [4]. If $N = 1$, each element in $M^!_{1/2}(1)$ has a natural interpretation as a vector valued modular form ([2] Lemma 14.2). Let N be a prime p for which $\Gamma_0(p)^*$ is of genus zero, i.e. $p \in \mathfrak{S}$. We will interpret a scalar valued modular form $f \in M^!_{1/2}(p)$ as a vector valued modular form

F with values in $\mathbb{C}[M'/M]$. The Borcherds lifting of F is then a meromorphic modular form on $\Gamma_0(p)^*$ whose zeros or poles occur only at cusps or imaginary quadratic irrationals corresponding to Heegner points. Moreover it has an explicit form of infinite product expansion ([3] Theorem 13.3) which allows us to extend (1) to hold true for all $p \in \mathfrak{S}$. (see (16)). As a byproduct we can extend Zagier's trace formula to all primes $p \in \mathfrak{S}$ (see Corollary 3.6).

Corollary 3.6 gives a description of the coefficient of q^1 in $f_{d,N}$ as the trace of singular value of j_N^*. In [18] §7 when the level N is equal to 1 and $D > 1$, Zagier described the D-th coefficient of $f_{d,1}$ in terms of the relative trace of singular modulus of discriminant $-dD$ from the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-dD})$ to its real quadratic subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. In §4 we will investigate the analogue of this in higher level cases and construct Borcherds products (see Theorem 4.4).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Modular forms of half-integral weight.** Here we recall some basic definitions. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the group consisting of all pairs $(A, \psi(\tau))$, where $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in GL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(\tau)$ is a complex valued function holomorphic on $\mathfrak{H}$ satisfying $|\psi(\tau)| = (\det A)^{-1/4}|c\tau + d|^{1/2}$, with group law defined by $(A, \psi_1(\tau))(B, \psi_2(\tau)) = (AB, \psi_1(B\tau)\psi_2(\tau))$. If $f : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi = (A, \psi(\tau)) \in \mathfrak{G}$, we put $f|_{[\xi]_{k+1/2}} = f|_\xi = \psi(\tau)^{-2k-1} f(A\tau)$. Then from definition it follows that $f|_{\xi_1}|_{\xi_2} = f|_{\xi_1\xi_2}$. There is a monomorphism $\Gamma_0(4) \to \mathfrak{G}$ given by $A \mapsto A^* := (A, j(A, \tau))$, where $j(A, \tau) = \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)^{-1/2}(c\tau + d)^{1/2}$ if $A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. A complex valued holomorphic function f on $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to be a *modular form of half-integral weight* $k + 1/2$ *on* $\Gamma_0(4N)$ if it satisfies $f|_{A^*} = f$ for every $A \in \Gamma_0(4N)$, and is holomorphic at the cusps.

2.2. **Jacobi forms.** A *(holomorphic) Jacobi form of weight k and index N* is defined to be a holomorphic function $\phi : \mathfrak{H} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the two transformation laws

$$\phi\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{z}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^k e^{2\pi i N \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d}} \phi(\tau, z) \quad (\forall \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})),$$

$$\phi(\tau, z+\lambda\tau+\mu) = e^{-2\pi i N(\lambda^2\tau+2\lambda z)} \phi(\tau, z) \quad (\forall \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & \mu \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^2)$$

and having a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n,r\in\mathbb{Z} \\ 4Nn-r^2\geq 0}} c(n,r) q^n \zeta^r \quad (q = e^{2\pi i\tau},\ \zeta = e^{2\pi i z}), \tag{2}$$

where the coefficient $c(n,r)$ depends only on $4Nn-r^2$ and on the residue class of $r \pmod{2N}$ ([11] Theorem 2.2). In (2), if the condition $4Nn - r^2 \geq 0$ is deleted, we obtain a *nearly holomorphic Jacobi form*.

Let $J^!_{k,N}$ be the space of nearly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight k and index N. Let $J^!_{*,*}$ be the ring of all nearly holomorphic Jacobi forms and $J^!_{ev,*}$ its even weight subring. Then $J^!_{ev,*}$ is the free polynomial algebra over $M^!_*(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6, \Delta^{-1}]/(E_4^3 - E_6^2 = 1728\Delta)$ on two generators $a = \tilde{\phi}_{-2,1}(\tau, z) \in J^!_{-2,1}$ and $b = \tilde{\phi}_{0,1}(\tau, z) \in J^!_{0,1}$ (see [11] §9). Let $N \in \{1\} \cup \mathfrak{S}$ and $k = 2$. There are Jacobi forms $\phi_{D,N} \in J^!_{2,N}$ uniquely characterized by the requirement that they have Fourier coefficients $c(n,r) = B(D, 4Nn - r^2)$ which depend only on the discriminant $r^2 - 4Nn$, with $B(D,-D) = 1$, $B(D,d) = 0$ if $d = 4Nn - r^2 < 0, \neq -D$ and $B(D,0) = -2$ or 0 according as D is a square or non-square. The uniqueness of $\phi_{D,N}$ is obvious since the difference of any two functions satisfying the definition of $\phi_{D,N}$ would be an element of $J_{2,N}$, which is of dimension zero (see [11] p.118). For the existence the structure theorem enables us to express $\phi_{D,N}$ as a linear combination of $a^i b^{N-i} (i = 0, \ldots, N)$ over $M^!_*(\Gamma)$.

Through the article we adopt the following notations:

- β: an element in $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$.

- $\bar{\Gamma}'$: the image of Γ' in $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\bar{\Gamma}'_Q$: $= \{\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}' \mid Q \circ \gamma = Q\}$.
- When we write $\mathcal{Q}_{d,N,\beta}$, β is always assumed to satisfy $\beta^2 \equiv -d \pmod{4N}$.
- $e(x)$: $= \exp(2\pi i x)$.
- ζ_n: $= e(1/n) = \exp(2\pi i/n)$.
- $s(D,N)$: the number of prime factors dividing (D,N).
- U_m: the Hecke operator defined by $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)q^n \mid_{U_m} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(mn)q^n$.
- $E_k(\tau)$: the normalized Eisenstein series of weight k, equal to $1-(2k/B_k)\sum_{n>0}\sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n$ where B_k is the k-th Bernoulli number defined by $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} B_k t^k/k! = t/(e^t-1)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d\mid n\\ d>0}} d^{k-1}$.

3. Vector valued modular forms

Definition 3.1. Let M be an even lattice of signature $(2,n)$ equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x,x)$. Let M' be the dual lattice of M and $\mathbb{C}[M'/M]$ be the group ring of M'/M with basis $\mathfrak{e}_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in M'/M$. We define a *vector valued modular form of weight k and of type ρ_M* to be a holomorphic function $F(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma\in M'/M} h_\gamma(\tau)\mathfrak{e}_\gamma$ on the upper half plane $\mathfrak{H}$ with values in $\mathbb{C}[M'/M]$ such that

$$h_\gamma(\tau+1) = e((\gamma,\gamma)/2)h_\gamma(\tau)$$

$$h_\gamma(-1/\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{i}^{n-2}}{\sqrt{|M'/M|}}\sqrt{\tau}^k \sum_{\delta\in M'/M} e(-(\gamma,\delta))h_\delta(\tau)$$

$$h_{-\gamma}(\tau) = (-1)^k i^{n-2} h_\gamma(\tau).$$

For a fixed positive integer N, we take M to be the 3 dimensional even lattice of all symmetric matrices $\upsilon = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $NA, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the norm $(\upsilon,\upsilon) = -2N\det(\upsilon)$. The lattice M splits as the direct sum of the 2 dimensional hyperbolic unimodular even lattice $II_{1,1} \approx \mathbb{Z}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1/N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) + \mathbb{Z}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and a lattice generated by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of norm $2N$. Thus

the dual lattice M' is the set of matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $NA, 2NB, C \in \mathbb{Z}$, and M'/M can be identified with $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$ by mapping a matrix of M' to the value of $B \in \frac{1}{2N}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$. The group $\Gamma_0(N)^*$ acts on the lattice M as an orthogonal transformation by $\upsilon \mapsto X\upsilon X^t$ for $\upsilon \in M$ and $X \in \Gamma_0(N)^*$. Moreover it is not difficult to verify that the special orthogonal group of M is $\Gamma_0(N)^*$.

In the following we will interpret a scalar valued modular form in $M^!_{1/2}(N)$ as a vector valued modular form of weight $1/2$ and type ρ_M. For simplicity we will restrict ourselves to the case N is a prime p in the set $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)q^n \in M^!_{1/2}(p)$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$h_\beta(\tau) = 2^{s(\beta,p)-1} \sum_{n\equiv\beta^2(4p)} c(n)q^{n/4p}$$

where $s(\beta, p) = 1$ if $\beta \equiv 0, p \pmod{2p}$ and 0 otherwise. Then clearly we have

$$h_\beta(\tau+1) = \zeta_{4p}^{\beta^2} h_\beta(\tau). \tag{3}$$

For j prime to $4p$, we consider $f_j = f|_{\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 4p \end{smallmatrix}\right),(4p)^{1/4}\right)}|_{W_{4p}}$ where $W_{4p} = \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 4p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), (4p)^{1/4}\sqrt{-i\tau}\right)$. By definition $f_j = f|_{\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4pj & -1 \\ 16p^2 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right),2\sqrt{-pi\tau}\right)}$. Let b and d be integers satisfying $jd - 4pb = 1$ and $\psi_j = \left(\frac{4p}{j}\right)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{j}\right)}\zeta_8^{-1}$. Then it holds that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} j & b \\ 4p & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^* \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4p & -d \\ 0 & 4p \end{smallmatrix}\right), \psi_j\right) = \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4pj & -1 \\ 16p^2 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), 2\sqrt{-pi\tau}\right)$. Thus $f_j = f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} j & b \\ 4p & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^*\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 4p & -d \\ 0 & 4p \end{smallmatrix}\right),\psi_j\right)} = \psi_j^{-1} f\left(\tau - \frac{j^{-1}}{4p}\right)$, from which it follows that

$$f|_{\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 4p \end{smallmatrix}\right),(4p)^{1/4}\right)}|_{W_{4p}} = \psi_j^{-1} f\left(\tau - \frac{j^{-1}}{4p}\right) \tag{4}$$

where j^{-1} denotes the inverse of j in $(\mathbb{Z}/4p\mathbb{Z})^*$. The left hand side of (4) is

$$\begin{aligned}(4p)^{-1/4} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{4p}\right)|_{W_{4p}} &= (4p)^{-1/4}\Big(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)\zeta_{4p}^{nj} q^{n/4p}\Big)|_{W_{4p}} = (4p)^{-1/4}\left(\sum_{\beta(2p)} \zeta_{4p}^{\beta^2 j} h_\beta\right)|_{W_{4p}} \\ &= (4p)^{-1/2}(\sqrt{-i\tau})^{-1}\left(\sum_{\beta(2p)} \zeta_{4p}^{\beta^2 j} h_\beta\right)\left(-\frac{1}{4p\tau}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (4) is

$$\psi_j^{-1}(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)\zeta_{4p}^{-nj^{-1}}q^n) = \psi_j^{-1}\left(\sum_{\beta(2p)} \zeta_{4p}^{-\beta^2 j^{-1}} h_\beta\right)(4p\tau). \tag{6}$$

Replacing τ by $\tau/4p$ in (5), (6) and recalling the definition of ψ_j we obtain the following identity:

$$\sum_{\beta(2p)} \zeta_{4p}^{\beta^2 j} h_\beta(-1/\tau) = \left(\frac{4p}{j}\right)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{j}\right)}^{-1}\sqrt{\tau}\cdot\sum_{\beta(2p)} \zeta_{4p}^{-\beta^2 j^{-1}} h_\beta(\tau). \tag{7}$$

Let R be a $2p\times 2p$ matrix defined by $R = \frac{\zeta_8^{-1}}{\sqrt{2p}}\left(\zeta_{2p}^{-l\beta}\right)_{0\le l,\beta<2p}$. To show that $\sum_{\beta(2p)} h_\beta \mathfrak{e}_\beta$ is a vector valued modular form, it is necessary to check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ \vdots \\ h_\beta \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{\tau}\; R \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ \vdots \\ h_\beta \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}(\tau). \tag{8}$$

Since $h_\beta = h_{-\beta}$, the above identity is equivalent to

$$A\begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ \vdots \\ h_\beta \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{\tau}\; AR \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ \vdots \\ h_\beta \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}(\tau) \tag{9}$$

for some matrix A with $2p$ columns of which the first $p+1$ ones are linearly independent and span the other ones. We take $A = \left(\zeta_{4p}^{\beta^2 j_l}\right)_{\substack{1\le l\le\varphi(4p)\\ 0\le\beta<2p}}$ where j_l is the l-th largest element in the set $\{j \mid 1\le j\le 4p \text{ and } (j,4p)=1\}$. We can check that the rank of A is $p+1$ unless $p=2$. Moreover from the Gauss quadratic sum identity ([15] Chapter IV, Section 3) it follows that $AR = \left(\left(\frac{4p}{j_l}\right)\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{j_l}\right)}^{-1}\zeta_{4p}^{-\beta^2 j_l^{-1}}\right)_{\substack{1\le l\le\varphi(4p)\\ 0\le\beta<2p}}$. Now the identity (9) follows from (7) except for the case $p=2$.

Remark 3.2. When $p = 2$, the matrix A is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \zeta_8 & -1 & \zeta_8 \\ 1 & \zeta_8^3 & -1 & \zeta_8^3 \\ 1 & \zeta_8^5 & -1 & \zeta_8^5 \\ 1 & \zeta_8^7 & -1 & \zeta_8^7 \end{pmatrix}$ and its rank is 2. If we take $j = 1, 5$ in (7), we obtain the following two identities:

$$h_0(-1/\tau) + 2\zeta_8 h_1(-1/\tau) - h_2(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{\tau}(h_0(\tau) + 2\zeta_8^{-1}h_1(\tau) - h_2(\tau)) \tag{10}$$

$$h_0(-1/\tau) - 2\zeta_8 h_1(-1/\tau) - h_2(-1/\tau) = -\sqrt{\tau}(h_0(\tau) - 2\zeta_8^{-1}h_1(\tau) - h_2(\tau)). \tag{11}$$

Thus in order to determine $h_\beta(-1/\tau)$ in terms of $h_\gamma(\tau)$'s we need one more identity. We consider

$$\begin{aligned} 2(f|_{U_2})|_{(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt{4\tau+1})} &= \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sqrt[4]{2}\, f|_{(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt[4]{2})(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt{4\tau+1})} = \sum_{j=0}^{1} \sqrt[4]{2}\, f|_{(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1+4j & j \\ 8 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt[4]{2}\sqrt{4\tau+1})} \\ &= \sqrt[4]{2}\, f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 8 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^*(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt[4]{2})} + \sqrt[4]{2}\, f|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 8 & 5 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^*(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt[4]{2})} \\ &= f\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of $S = (\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \sqrt{\tau})$ and $T = (\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), 1)$ we can rewrite the above as $2(f|_{U_2})|_{ST^{-4}S^{-1}} = f\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)$. This yields

$$(f|_{U_2})|_S = \frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right)\right)|_{ST^4}. \tag{12}$$

The left hand side of (12) can be written as

$$(h_0(4\tau) + h_2(4\tau))|_S = (h_0(-4/\tau) + h_2(-4/\tau))\sqrt{\tau}^{-1}.$$

Meanwhile the right hand side of (12) turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} 2h_1(4\tau)|_{ST^4} &= 2(h_1(-4/\tau)\sqrt{\tau}^{-1})|_{T^4} \\ &= 2\left(\frac{\zeta_8^{-1}}{2}\sqrt{\tau/4}(h_0(\tau/4) - h_2(\tau/4))\sqrt{\tau}^{-1}\right)|_{T^4} \text{ by (10) and (11)} \\ &= \frac{\zeta_8^{-1}}{2}(h_0(\tau/4) + h_2(\tau/4)) \text{ by (3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing τ by 4τ we come up with

$$h_0(-1/\tau) + h_2(-1/\tau) = \zeta_8^{-1}\sqrt{\tau}(h_0(\tau) + h_2(\tau)). \tag{13}$$

Now from (10), (11) and (13) we can verify (8) in the case $p = 2$, too.

Now we will work out Borcherds lifting in $O(2,1)$ case. With M as before, we let $G(M)$ denote the *Grassmannian* of M, i.e. the space of all two dimensional positive definite subspaces of $M \bigotimes \mathbb{R}$ acted on by the orthogonal group $O(2,1)$. In our case the Grassmannian $G(M)$ can be identified with the upper half plane by mapping $\tau \in \mathfrak{H}$ to the two dimensional positive definite space spanned by the real and imaginary parts of the norm zero vector $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \tau^2 & \tau \\ \tau & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Let $F = \sum_\beta h_\beta \mathfrak{e}_\beta$ be a vector valued modular form associated to $f = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)q^n \in M^!_{1/2}(p)$. We write $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_\beta(n/4p)e(n\tau/4p)$ for the Fourier expansion of $h_\beta(\tau)$. Theorem 13.3 of [3] implies that there is a meromorphic function Ψ_f on $G(M)$ that have infinite product expansion (explicitly given by Item 5 in Theorem 13.3 of [3]) with the following properties:

1. Ψ_f is an automorphic form of weight $c_0(0)/2$ for some unitary character of $\mathrm{Aut}(M, F)$.
2. The only zeros or poles of Ψ_f lie on the divisors $\lambda^\perp$ for $\lambda \in M$, $\lambda^2 < 0$ and are zeros of order $\sum_{\substack{0<x\in\mathbb{R} \\ x\lambda\in M'}} c_{x\lambda}(x^2\lambda^2/2)$.

We can translate the above in terms of usual modular forms as follows:

Theorem 3.3. *With the same notation as above, we have,*
(i) for some h,

$$\Psi_f(\tau) = q^{-h}\prod_{n>0}(1-q^n)^{c_\beta(n^2/4p)}, \ \textit{(here for each } n > 0,\ \beta \equiv n \ \textit{(mod } 2p)\textit{)}$$

is a meromorphic modular form of weight $c_0(0)$ for some character of $\Gamma_0(p)^*$.
(ii) The possible zeros or poles of Ψ_f occur either at cusps or at imaginary quadratic irrationals $\alpha \in \mathfrak{H}$ satisfying $pA\alpha^2+B\alpha+C = 0$ with $(A, B, C) = 1$. Let $\delta = B^2-4pAC < 0$.

Then the multiplicity of the zero of Ψ_f at α is given by $\sum_{n>0} c_\beta(\delta n^2/4p)$ (here for each $n>0$, β is chosen such that $\beta^2 \equiv \delta n^2 \pmod{4p}$).

Recall the nearly holomorphic modular form $f_{d,p}$ in $M^!_{1/2}(p)$ with Fourier expansion of the form $q^{-d} + \sum_{D\geq 1} A(D,d)q^d$. We write $2f_{d,p} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} c(n)q^n$. By Theorem 3.3 (i),

$$\Psi_{2f_{d,p}} = q^{-h}\prod_{u=1}^{\infty}(1-q^u)^{A^*(u^2,d)} \quad \text{(for some } h\text{)} \tag{14}$$

is a meromorphic modular form of weight 0 for some character of $\Gamma_0(p)^*$. Choose an integer $\beta \pmod{2p}$ with $\beta^2 \equiv -d \pmod{4p}$. From Theorem 3.3 (ii) it follows that the zeros of $\Psi_{2f_{d,p}}$ occur at α_Q for each $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}$ with multiplicity

$$c_\beta(-d/4p) = 2^{s(\beta,p)-1}c(-d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \Leftrightarrow p\nmid\beta \Leftrightarrow \beta \not\equiv -\beta \pmod{2p} \Leftrightarrow Q\circ W_p \notin \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta} \\ 2 & \Leftrightarrow p\mid\beta \Leftrightarrow \beta \equiv -\beta \pmod{2p} \Leftrightarrow Q\circ W_p \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the multiplicity of the zero of $j^*_p(\tau) - j^*_p(\alpha_Q)$ at α_Q is

$$|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)^*_Q| = \begin{cases} |\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q|, & \text{if } Q\circ W_p \neq Q \pmod{\Gamma_0(p)}; \\ 2|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q|, & \text{if } Q\circ W_p = Q \pmod{\Gamma_0(p)}. \end{cases}$$

From this observation we come up with

$$\Psi_{2f_{d,p}} = \prod_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)} (j^*_p(\tau) - j^*_p(\alpha_Q))^{\frac{1}{|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q|}} \tag{15}$$

Comparing (14) with (15) we get

$$q^{-H_{p,\beta}(d)}\prod_{u=1}^{\infty}(1-q^u)^{A^*(u^2,d)} = \prod_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)} (j^*_p(\tau) - j^*_p(\alpha_Q))^{\frac{1}{|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q|}} \tag{16}$$

where $H_{p,\beta}(d) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)} \frac{1}{|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q|}$. Note that if d is not divisible as a discriminant by p^2, then $|\mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)| = |\mathcal{Q}_d/\Gamma|$ and $|\bar{\Gamma}_0(p)_Q| = |\bar{\Gamma}_Q|$. Therefore in this case $H_{p,\beta}(d)$ is none other than $H(d)$.

Example 3.4. Let $p = 2, d = 16$ and $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. A set of representatives for $\mathcal{Q}_{16,2,0}/\Gamma_0(2)$ is given by $Q_1 = [4,-4,2]$, $Q_2 = [2,0,2]$ and $Q_3 = [4,0,1]$. We observe that $|\bar{\Gamma}_0(2)_{Q_i}| = 2$ if $i = 1$ and 1 otherwise. Up to modulo $\Gamma_0(2)$, the involution W_2 fixes Q_1 and interchanges Q_2 with Q_3. Thus, by (15), $\Psi_{2f_{16,2}} = (j_2^*(\tau) - j_2^*(\alpha_{Q_1}))^{1/2}(j_2^*(\tau) - j_2^*(\alpha_{Q_2}))^2$.

Let $f = \sum_{D\in\mathbf{Z}} A(D)q^D \in M^!_{1/2}(p)$. As before , we set $h_\beta(\tau) = 2^{s(\beta,p)-1} \sum_{D\equiv\beta^2(4p)} A(D)q^{D/4p}$ for each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z}$. By (8)

$$h_\beta(-1/\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{i}^{-1}\sqrt{\tau}}{\sqrt{2p}} \sum_{j \ (2p)} e(-\beta j/2p)h_j(\tau).$$

Let $\phi \in J^!_{2,p}$. The Fourier coefficient $c(n,r)$ of $q^n\zeta^r$ in ϕ depends only on the discriminant $r^2 - 4pn$ and therefore we can write $c(n,r) = B(4pn - r^2)$. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/2p\mathbb{Z}$, we set $\tilde{h}_\mu = \sum_{d\equiv-\mu^2(4p)} B(d)q^{d/4p}$. The formula (9) in [11] §5 says

$$\tilde{h}_\mu(-1/\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{i}\sqrt[3]{\tau}}{\sqrt{2p}} \sum_{k \ (2p)} e(\mu k/2p)\tilde{h}_k(\tau).$$

Let $g = \sum_{d\in\mathbb{Z}} B(d)q^d$ with $B(d)$ defined to be zero unless $-d$ is a square modulo $4p$. From definition it holds that $f = \sum_{\beta \ (2p)} h_\beta(4p\tau)$ and $g = \sum_{\mu \ (2p)} 2^{s(\mu,p)-1}\tilde{h}_\mu(4p\tau)$. Moreover $(fg)|_{U_{4p}} = \sum_{i \ (2p)}(h_i\tilde{h}_i)(\tau)$. Thus $(fg)|_{U_{4p}}(\tau+1) = (fg)|_{U_{4p}}(\tau)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(fg)|_{U_{4p}}(-1/\tau) &= \sum_{i \ (2p)} (h_i\tilde{h}_i)(-1/\tau) = \frac{\tau^2}{2p} \sum_{i,j,k \ (2p)} e(-ij/2p)e(ik/2p)(h_j\tilde{h}_k)(\tau) \\
&= \frac{\tau^2}{2p} \sum_{j,k \ (2p)} \sum_{i \ (2p)} e(i(-j+k)/2p)(h_j\tilde{h}_k)(\tau) \\
&= \tau^2 \sum_{j \ (2p)} (h_j\tilde{h}_j)(\tau) \ \text{ since } \sum_{i \ (2p)} e(i(-j+k)/2p) = \begin{cases} 2p, & \text{if } j \equiv k \pmod{2p} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \tau^2 (fg)|_{U_{4p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$(fg)|_{U_{4p}}$ is then invariant under the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with a pole only at ∞ and of weight 2. Thus $(fg)|_{U_{4p}}$ can be written as the derivative of some polynomial in j. In particular the constant term of the q-expansion of fg vanishes. Applying this to $f = f_{d,p}$ and $\phi = \phi_{D,p}$ gives rise to the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $d = 4pn - r^2$ for some integers n and r. Let $A(D,d)$ (resp. $B(D,d)$)be the coefficient of q^D (resp. $q^n\zeta^r$) in $f_{d,p} \in M^!_{1/2}(p)$ (resp. $\phi_{D,p} \in J^!_{2,p}$). Then $A(D,d) = -B(D,d)$.*

Corollary 3.6. *Let p be a prime for which $\Gamma_0(p)^*$ is of genus zero. For each natural number d which is congruent to a square modulo $4p$, let $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(d) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{d,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)} \frac{1}{|\overline{\Gamma_0(p)}_Q|} j^*_p(\alpha_Q)$. We also put $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(-1) = -1$, $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(0) = 2$ and $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(d) = 0$ for $d < -1$. Then the series $\sum_{n,r} \mathbf{t}^{(p)}(4pn - r^2) q^n \zeta^r$ becomes a nearly holomorphic Jacobi form of weight 2 and index p.*

Proof. In (16) if we compare the q-expansions on both sides, then we obtain $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(d) = A^*(1,d) = A(1,d)$ which is equal to $-B(1,d)$ by Lemma 3.5. Now the series $\sum_{n,r} \mathbf{t}^{(p)}(4pn - r^2) q^n \zeta^r$ coincides with $-\phi_{1,p}$. □

4. Twisted traces of singular values

Let D and $-d$ be a positive and a negative discriminant, respectively. For simplicity we suppose them to be fundamental and coprime. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-Dd})$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be odd primes dividing Dd and put $p_i^* = (-1)^{(p_i-1)/2} p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. By class field theory the genus field M of K is expressed as $K(\sqrt{p_1^*}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r^*})$ and is contained in the Hilbert class field H of K (see [10] Theorem 6.1). We have obvious inclusion $N = K(\sqrt{D}) \subset M \subset H$. The genus character $\chi = \chi_{D,-d}$ assigns to any quadratic form Q of discriminant $-dD$ a value ± 1 defined by $\chi(Q) = \left(\frac{D}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{q}\right)$ where q is any prime represented by Q and not dividing Dd. This is independent of the choice of q. We further assume D and $-d$ to be

congruent to a square modulo $4p$. We define the "twisted trace" $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)$ by

$$\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)j_p^*(\alpha_Q).$$

Proposition 4.1. (i) *The definition of* $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)$ *is independent of the choice of* β.
(ii) *Let* p *be a prime such that* $p-1$ *divides* 24. *Then* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)$ *is a rational integer.*

Proof. (i) Since W_p induces a bijection between $\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,-\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)$, it is enough to show that $\chi(Q\circ W_p)=\chi(Q)$ and $j_p^*(\alpha_{Q\circ W_p})=j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ for each $Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}$. The latter is clear since j_p^* is the Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(p)^*$. The invariance of χ under W_p follows from Proposition 1 in p.508 of [12].
(ii) It is well-known that $j(\alpha_Q)$ is an algebraic integer. By [7] Remark 1.5.3 and Appendix 1 there is a modular relation between j and j_p^* which allows j_p^* to be integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$. Therefore $j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ is an algebraic integer, too. Let $j_{0,p}$ be the Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(p)$. Then j_p^* can be written as $j_{0,p}(\tau)+j_{0,p}(W_p\tau)$ up to some constant ($\in\mathbb{Z}$). By [7] Theorem 3.7.5, $j_{0,p}(\alpha_Q)$ (resp. $j_{0,p}(W_p\alpha_Q)$) generates Hilbert class field H of K so that $j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ belongs to H. The set of forms Q with $\chi(Q)=+1$ is identified with $\mathrm{Gal}(H/N)$. Therefore the sum $\sum_{\chi(Q)=+1}j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ is the trace of the algebraic integer $j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ from H to N. Since this number is invariant under the complex conjugation, it belongs to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. If we write this number as $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}(a+b\sqrt{D})$ with $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, then the sum over all $j_p^*(\alpha_Q)$ is equal to $\mathrm{Tr}_{H/K}(j_p^*(\alpha_Q))=\mathrm{Tr}_{N/K}\circ\mathrm{Tr}_{H/N}(j_p^*(\alpha_Q))=\mathrm{Tr}_{N/K}(\lambda)=a$. Hence the sum $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)$ is equal to $-a+2\lambda=b\sqrt{D}$. □

Here are some numerical examples with $p=2$ and $p=3$:

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{17}}\mathbf{t}^{(2)}(17,4)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{17}}(j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[18,2,1]}\right)+j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[2,2,9]}\right)-j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[6,-2,3]}\right)-j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[6,2,3]}\right))=-204800,$
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\mathbf{t}^{(2)}(8,7)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}(j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[14,0,1]}\right)+j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[2,0,7]}\right)-j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[6,4,3]}\right)-j_2^*\left(\alpha_{[10,8,3]}\right))=90112,$
and
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}\mathbf{t}^{(3)}(13,3)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[12,3,1]}\right)+j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[3,3,4]}\right)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[6,3,2]}\right)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[15,9,2]}\right))=-378,$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}\mathbf{t}^{(3)}(13,8) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}}(j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[27,2,1]}\right) - j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[21,8,2]}\right) + j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[3,2,9]}\right) + j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[9,2,3]}\right) - j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[6,-4,5]}\right) - j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[15,14,5]}\right)) = -11968,$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}\mathbf{t}^{(3)}(21,8) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}}(j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[42,0,1]}\right) - j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[21,0,2]}\right) + j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[3,0,14]}\right) - j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[6,0,7]}\right)) = 342144.$

We want to express these traces as the coefficients of $f_{d,p}$. As explained in the Appendix of [13], $f_{d,p}$ can be found by making use of "Rankin-Cohen bracket" $[\ ,\ ]_n$. Let $f(\tau)$ and $g(\tau)$ denote two modular forms of weight k and l on some group $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$. We denote by D the differential operator $\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{d\tau} = q\frac{d}{dq}$ and use $f', f'', \ldots, f^{(n)}$ instead of $Df, D^2f, \ldots, D^nf$. The n-th Rankin-Cohen bracket of f and g is defined by the formula

$$[f,g]_n(\tau) = \sum_{r+s=n} (-1)^r \binom{n+k-1}{s} \binom{n+l-1}{r} f^{(r)}(\tau) g^{(s)}(\tau).$$

By [8] §7 (or [17] §1) $[f,g]_n(\tau)$ is a modular form of weight $k+l+2n$ on Γ'. Let us consider the construction of $f_{d,p}$ in the cases $p=2$ and $p=3$:

$p=2$: Let $\theta = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$, $u = ([\theta, E_{10}(8\tau)]_1/\Delta(8\tau) + 2112\theta)/(-40)$ and $v = ([\theta, E_8(8\tau)]_2/\Delta(8\tau) - 11520\theta)/72$. Then it follows that

$f_{4,2} = (v-u)/12 = q^{-4} - 52q + 272q^4 + 2600q^8 - 8244q^9 + 15300q^{12} + 71552q^{16} - 204800q^{17} + 282880q^{20} + \cdots$,

$f_{7,2} = (4u - v)/3 = q^{-7} - 23q - 2048q^4 + 45056q^8 + 252q^9 - 516096q^{12} + 4145152q^{16} - 1771q^{17} - 26378240q^{20} + \cdots$

$p = 3$: Let $u = ([\theta, E_{10}(12\tau)]_1/\Delta(12\tau) + 1584\theta)/(-20)$, $v = ([\theta, E_8(12\tau)]_2/\Delta(12\tau) - 25920\theta)/72$ and $w = ([\theta, E_6(12\tau)]_3/\Delta(12\tau) + 272160\theta)/(-112)$. Then we obtain that

$f_{3,3} = (4u - 5v + w)/360 = q^{-3} - 14q + 40q^4 - 78q^9 + 168q^{12} - 378q^{13} + 688q^{16} - 897q^{21}\cdots$,

$f_{8,3} = (-9u + 10v - w)/60 = q^{-8} - 34q - 188q^4 + 2430q^9 + 8262q^{12} - 11968q^{13} - 34936q^{16} + 171072q^{21}\cdots$,

$f_{11,3} = (36u - 13v + w)/24 = q^{-11} + 22q - 552q^4 - 11178q^9 + 48600q^{12} + 76175q^{13} - 269744q^{16} - 1782891q^{21}\cdots$.

Comparing these coefficients with the examples of twisted traces $\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d)$ we are led to the following result which can be proved in a way analogous to the proof of [18] Theorem 6:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}(D,d) = A^*(D,d) = -B^*(D,d) \tag{17}$$

with $A^*(D,d) = 2^{s(D,p)}A(D,d)$ and $B^*(D,d) = 2^{s(D,p)}B(D,d)$.

Now we will derive certain product identities from (17).

Lemma 4.2. *For $i \geq 0$ and m coprime to p,*

$$\phi_{p^{2i}m^2D,1}|_{V_p} = p\phi_{p^{2i+2}m^2D,p} + \phi_{p^{2i}m^2D,p}.$$

Here V_p is the Hecke operator on Jacobi forms defined by the formula (2) in [11].

Proof. According to [11] Theorem 4.1, the operator V_p maps $J^!_{2,1}$ to $J^!_{2,p}$. From the formula (7) in [11], p.43, we find that

$$\text{the coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } \phi_{p^{2i}m^2D,1}|_{V_p} = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } 4pn - r^2 = -p^{2i+2}m^2D \\ 1, & \text{if } 4pn - r^2 = -p^{2i}m^2D \\ 0, & \text{if } 4pn - r^2 < 0, \neq -p^{2i+2}m^2D, -p^{2i}m^2D. \end{cases}$$

From these observations and the uniqueness of $\phi_{D,p}$, the lemma immediately follows. □

Let $t = j^*_p$. For each positive integer m, we define

$$\mathbf{t}^{(p)}_m(D,d) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)} \chi(Q)t_m(\alpha_Q)$$

where t_m is a unique polynomial of t such that $t_m \equiv q^{-m} \pmod{q\mathbb{C}[[q]]}$. We can use Hecke operators in integral and half-integral weight to get the following lemma whose proof is similar to that of formulas in p.13 of [18].

Lemma 4.3. *Let l be a positive integer coprime to p and $d = 4pn - r^2$. Then*
(i) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}_l^{(p)}(D,d) = -$*coefficient of* $q^n\zeta^r$ *in* $2^{s(D,p)}\phi_{D,p}|_{T_l}$,
(ii) $\phi_{D,p}|_{T_l} = \sum_{\nu|l}\left(\frac{D}{l/\nu}\right)\nu\phi_{\nu^2 D,p}$.

We claim that for $d = 4pn - r^2$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}_m^{(p)}(D,d) = -\text{coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } \sum_{u|m} 2^{s(u^2D,p)}\left(\frac{D}{m/u}\right)u\phi_{u^2D,p}. \tag{18}$$

Let $J = j - 744$ and J_m be the unique polynomial such that $J_m \equiv q^{-m} \pmod{q\mathbb{C}[[q]]}$. By "expansion formula" ([14] p.14 or [9] p.319) we come up with $t_{lp^{k+1}}(\tau) = J_{lp^k}(p\tau) + J_{lp^k}(\tau) - t_{lp^k}(\tau)$ (for $k \geq 0$) and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)t_{lp^{k+1}}(\alpha_Q)\\ &= \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)(J_{lp^k}(p\tau)+J_{lp^k}(\tau))|_{\tau=\alpha_Q} - \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)t_{lp^k}(\alpha_Q).\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The map which sends $[a,b,c] \in \mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}$ to $[a/p,b,cp] \in \mathcal{Q}_{dD}$ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{dD}/\Gamma$. And the natural map from $\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)$ to $\mathcal{Q}_{dD}/\Gamma$ also gives a bijection. Theses maps preserve the values of χ and (19) is rewritten as

$$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)t_{lp^{k+1}}(\alpha_Q) = 2\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD}/\Gamma}\chi(Q)J_{lp^k}(\alpha_Q) - \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}\chi(Q)t_{lp^k}(\alpha_Q),$$

which yields

$$\mathbf{t}_{lp^{k+1}}^{(p)}(D,d) = 2\mathbf{t}_{lp^k}^{(1)}(D,d) - \mathbf{t}_{lp^k}^{(p)}(D,d) \qquad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

In (18) we write $m = lp^k$ with $(l,p) = 1$. We use induction on k to prove the claim. If $k = 0$, the claim (18) follows from Lemma 4.3. Now we assume the claim for k.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}_{lp^{k+1}}(D,d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}(2\mathbf{t}^{(1)}_{lp^k}(D,d) - \mathbf{t}^{(p)}_{lp^k}(D,d))$$

$$= -\text{coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } 2(\phi_{D,1}|_{T_{lp^k}})|_{V_p} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}_{lp^k}(D,d) \text{ by [18] formula (25), Theorem 5-(iii)}$$

$$= -\text{coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } 2(\sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{\nu|l}\nu p^i\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^{k-i}}\right)\phi_{\nu^2p^{2i}D,1})|_{V_p} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}_{lp^k}(D,d)$$

by [18] p.13 and Theorem 5-(iii)

$$= -\text{coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } [2\sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{\nu|l}\nu p^i\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^{k-i}}\right)(p\phi_{\nu^2p^{2i+2}D,p} + \phi_{\nu^2p^{2i}D,p})$$

$$-(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{\nu|l}2\nu p^i\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^{k-i}}\right)\phi_{\nu^2p^{2i}D,p} + \sum_{\nu|l}2^{s(D,p)}\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^k}\right)\nu\phi_{\nu^2D,p})]$$

by Lemma 4.2 and induction hypothesis

$$= -\text{coefficient of } q^n\zeta^r \text{ in } [\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\sum_{\nu|l}2\nu p^i\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^{k+1-i}}\right)\phi_{\nu^2p^{2i}D,p} + \sum_{\nu|l}2^{s(D,p)}\left(\frac{D}{(l/\nu)p^{k+1}}\right)\nu\phi_{\nu^2D,p}]$$

since $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = 0$ or 1, and $2^{s(D,p)} = 1$ or 2.

Let $z \in \mathfrak{H}$. Note that $\frac{1}{m}t_m(z)$ can be viewed as the coefficient of q^m-term in $-\log q - \log(t(\tau) - t(z))$ (see [16]). Thus $\log q^{-1} - \sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}t_m(z)q^m = \log(t(\tau) - t(z))$. Taking exponential on both sides, we get

$$q^{-1}\exp(-\sum_{m>0}\frac{1}{m}t_m(z)q^m) = t(\tau) - t(z). \tag{20}$$

By the claim (18), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{D}}\mathbf{t}^{(p)}_m(D,d) = -\sum_{u|m}u\left(\frac{D}{m/u}\right)B^*(u^2D,d). \tag{21}$$

From (20) and (21) it follows that

$$\prod_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}(t(\tau)-t(\alpha_Q))^{\chi(Q)}=\exp(-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{t}_m^{(p)}(D,d)q^m/m)$$

$$=\exp(\sqrt{D}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{u|m}u\left(\frac{D}{m/u}\right)B^*(u^2D,d)q^m/m)=\exp(\sqrt{D}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}u\left(\frac{D}{m}\right)B^*(u^2D,d)\frac{q^{mu}}{mu})$$

$$=\exp(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}(-B^*(u^2D,d))(-\sum_{0<n<D}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(\zeta_D^nq^u)^m/m))$$

by the Gauss sum identity $\sqrt{D}\left(\frac{D}{m}\right)=\sum_{0<n<D}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)\zeta_D^{nm}$

$$=\exp(\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}A^*(u^2D,d)\sum_{0<n<D}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)\log(1-\zeta_D^nq^u)).$$

Now the following theorem is immediate.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $D>1$ and $-d<0$ be coprime fundamental discriminants and congruent to a square modulo $4p$. We define $\mathcal{H}_{D,d,p}(X)=\prod_{Q\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD,p,\beta}/\Gamma_0(p)}(X-j_p^*(\alpha_Q))^{\chi(Q)}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{D,d,p}(j_p^*(\tau))=\prod_{u=1}^{\infty}P_D(q^u)^{A^*(u^2D,d)}$$

where $A^*(D,d)=2^{s(D,p)}A(D,d)$ and $P_D(t)=\prod_{0<n<D}(1-\zeta_D^nt)^{\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)}$.

Example 4.5. Let us consider the case $p=3$ and $D=13,d=3$. For $\beta\equiv 1\pmod 6$, a set of representatives for $\mathcal{Q}_{39,3,1}/\Gamma_0(3)$ is given by $\{[12,3,1],[3,3,4],[6,3,2],[15,9,2]\}$. Meanwhile the q-expansion of $f_{3,3}$ is

$$q^{-3}-14q+40q^4-78q^9+168q^{12}-378q^{13}+688q^{16}+\cdots+133056q^{52}+\cdots+(-30650256)q^{117}+\cdots\ .$$

Theorem 4.4 yields the following product formula:

$$\frac{\left(j_3^*(\tau)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[12,3,1]}\right)\right)\left(j_3^*(\tau)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[3,3,4]}\right)\right)}{\left(j_3^*(\tau)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[6,3,2]}\right)\right)\left(j_3^*(\tau)-j_3^*\left(\alpha_{[15,9,2]}\right)\right)}=P_{13}(q)^{-378}P_{13}(q^2)^{133056}P_{13}(q^3)^{2(-30650256)}\cdots\ .$$

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor J. H. Bruinier for encouraging me to do this subject and kindly answering my questions. I also want to express my gratitude to the people at Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg for their support.

References

[1] R. E. Borcherds, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras*, Invent. math. **109** (1992), 405-444.

[2] R. Borcherds, *Automorphic forms on $O_{s+2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and infinite products*, Invent. Math. **120** (1995), 161-213.

[3] R. Borcherds, *Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmanians*, Invent. Math. **132** (1998), 491-562.

[4] R. Borcherds, *The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions*, Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), 219-233.

[5] J. H. Bruinier, *Borcherds products on $O(2,l)$ and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1780, Springer-Verlag (2002).

[6] J. H. Bruinier and M. Bundschuh, *On Borcherds products associated with lattices of prime discriminant* to appear in Ramanujan J.

[7] I. Chen and N. Yui, *Singular values of Thompson series,* in "Groups, Difference Sets and Monster" (K. T. Arasu *et al.* Eds.), pp. 255-326, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

[8] H. Cohen, *Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters*, Math. Ann. **217** (1975), 271-285.

[9] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton, *Monstrous Moonshine*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11, 308-339, 1979.

[10] D. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$*, John Wiley & Sons, 1989.

[11] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, Progress in Math. **55**, Bikhäuser-Verlag, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1985.

[12] B. Gross, W. Kohnen, D. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series II*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987), 497-562.

[13] C. H. Kim, *Borcherds products associated with certain Thompson series*, to appear in Compositio Math., e-print arXiv:math.NT/0203076.

[14] M. Koike, *On replication formula and Hecke operators*, Nagoya University (preprint).

[15] S. Lang, *Algebraic number theory*, (2nd ed.), Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[16] S. P. Norton, *More on moonshine.* In: Computational Group Theory, 185-193, Academic Press, 1984.

[17] D. Zagier, *Modular forms and differential operators*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. **104** (1994), 57-75.

[18] D. Zagier, *Traces of singular moduli,* Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Preprint series **2000** (8).

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, 69120 Heidelberg

E-mail address: kim@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de